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This essay focuses on two Jewish Sonderkommando members from the Nazi death camp Auschwitz-Birkenau and how they have constructed their written and oral testimonies after the Holocaust. Prisoner functionaries such as the Sonderkommando were prisoners used by the Nazis to control the everyday functioning of the labour and death camps. In return, they received “privileges,” such as more food for their cooperation. Because of this cooperation, many non-privileged prisoners viewed these prisoner functionaries as Nazi collaborators, particularly when recounting their own experiences. This made many prisoner functionaries self-impose a degree of silence for fear of judgement and retribution after the war. The Sonderkommando, for example, remained largely silent after their liberation from Allied forces because of fear of judgement and misunderstandings of their position.

The Nazis used the Sonderkommando, the ‘special unit’ or squad, as forced labourers to facilitate the extermination process of the “Jewish problem.” Sonderkommando members ensured the fast-paced undressing phase of prisoners prior to their gassing, removed bodies from the gas chambers and relocated them to the furnace room or large outdoor pits for cremation; removed valuable items, hair and gold teeth, and disposed of the human ashes from the crematoriums.¹ In return for this work, Sonderkommando members received greater quantities of food, better living arrangements, and more leisure time.

This essay compares two former Sonderkommando testimonies created in both public and academic mediums. These former Sonderkommando members are the Gabbai brothers, Ya’akov and Dario. Furthermore, this essay draws on Holocaust survivor Primo Levi’s argument that the Sonderkommando dwelt within a “grey zone” of moral ambiguity and complexity. Yet rather than taking Levi’s position that readers should suspend judgement of these men because of their “grey zone,” this essay maintains that audiences can and should make informed judgements of these functionaries based on a critical and careful inquiry of their individual testimonies and actions through a narrative inquiry. Doing so will contribute in ensuring that readers do not universalise the actions of this and other prisoner groups. To compare these brothers testimonies, this paper analyses their influences, motivations, and the methods they employed to emotionally, and psychologically, cope with their camp and post war experiences as expressed in their testimonies.

Ya’akov and Dario were one of few sets of brothers to survive the Sonderkommando unit at Auschwitz-Birkenau.² Yet both of their testimonies

¹ See Gideon Greif, We Wept Without Tears: Testimonies of the Jewish Sonderkommando from Auschwitz (New Haven and London: Yale University Press, 2005), pp. 1-86.
² This essay uses the American spelling of Gabbai, rather than the translated spelling ‘Gabai’ offered in Gideon Greif’s We Wept Without Tears; Ya’akov is pronounced as ‘Jacob.’ Dario also calls Ya’akov ‘Jack’ in his testimony.
take remarkably different forms. Ya’akov’s testimony is included in historian Gideon Greif’s noteworthy *We Wept Without Tears: Testimonies of the Jewish Sonderkommando from Auschwitz*. In this work, Greif interviewed Ya’akov in Hebrew in the 1980s, transcribed the interview into text, and oversaw its translation. Dario’s testimony, on the other hand, takes the form of a videotaped interview undertaken in 1996 in the English language by the University of Southern California’s Shoah Foundation Institute, who subsequently uploaded the testimony onto their free online archive titled The Visual History Archive. How these two brothers understand their shared experiences and construct their testimonies differently is the focus of this essay. By first outlining the Nazi occupation of Greece where the Gabbai family originated, this essay will follow through with two comparative analyses of Ya’akov and Dario’s testimonies.

### German Occupation of Greece

German forces occupied Greece in April 1941 after assisting Italy defeat Greece in the Greco-Italian War. Nazi powers divided Greece into three sections, each controlled by Germany, Italy, and Bulgaria. Ya’akov and Dario Gabbai lived in the German occupied zone of Salonika. Salonika had the largest Jewish community in Greece, with a population of 56,000 on the eve of the Holocaust. According to Dario, the first year of occupation was not bad. After the Wannsee Conference in 1942, however, where the decision to formally implement the Final Solution was confirmed, the Nazis began to target Greek Jews, imposing humiliation, dispossession, identification, and torment through anti-Jewish laws and violence. In February 1943, for example, the Germans forced the Jews of Salonika into two ghettos, on the eastern and western side respectively. Between March and August, the Germans deported more than 45,000 Jews from Salonika to Auschwitz-Birkenau, telling the Jews that they were to arrive in Krakow and that the Jews in Poland would be there to welcome them and see to their needs. The Germans deceived the Jews to gain their cooperation, as the overwhelming majority of Polish Jews were by 1943 already prisoners to, or victims of, the Final Solution.

The Gabbai family escaped this fate. According to Ya’akov, he and his family were among ‘that group of privileged citizens who held Italian and Spanish citizenship,’ and who had moved to Athens in July 1943 to get away from the Germans to come under Italian governance. The family’s foreign
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3 Ya’akov Gabbai, “I’ll Get Out of Here!” in *We Wept Without Tears*, ed. Gideon Greif, pp. 181-214; Dario Gabbai, Interview 142, Visual History Archive (VHA). USC Shoah Foundation Institute, 2011 (hereafter Dario Gabbai, 142, VHA); VHA offers free online registration and access to their collection at [http://vhaonline.usc.edu/](http://vhaonline.usc.edu/).


5 Greif, *We Wept Without Tears*, p. 363, note 5.

6 Dario Gabbai, Interview 142, VHA, Tape 1, min26:00ff.

7 Greif, *We Wept Without Tears*, p. 363, note 6.

citizenship prevented their deportation to concentration and extermination camps earlier in the occupation period. During the first two years of Nazi occupation, the Nazis did not deport Jews who held Italian citizenship. Yet when Italy surrendered to Allied powers, the Nazis targeted all Jews in Greece regardless of their citizenship.

Italy surrendered in September 1943. Until then, the Italians treated the Jews in the Italian occupation zone fairly and humanely, taking in many Jewish refugees from other areas of Greece and offering the Jews safety and resettlement. According to figures provided by one of the leading Holocaust museums Yad Vashem, the pre-war Jewish population of Athens was 3,500, which rose to 8,000-10,000 during Italian occupation. The Italian powers resisted Nazi orders that called for the deportation of Jews to labour and extermination camps. However, after Italy’s surrender, German forces assumed control of Greece. In doing so, the Nazis implemented the full range of anti-Jewish laws across the newly occupied territories. In March 1944, the Germans began the deportation of Jews living in Athens and other newly occupied territories to extermination camps in Poland. Among those deported were the Gabbai brothers and their families.

Upon arrival at Auschwitz, the Nazis selected Ya’akov and Dario for the right group and their parents to the left group. The right and left group respectively signified those the Nazis chose as workers and those they chose to murder immediately. After one month’s quarantine, Nazi officials sent Ya’akov, Dario, and other Greek Jews, to work in the Sonderkommando block.

**Ya’akov Gabbai**

Ya’akov Gabbai was born on 26 July 1912 and died in 1994. Greif conducted his series of interviews with Ya’akov in the late 1980s, which allowed Ya’akov to share his life experiences and time as a Sonderkommando. Greif’s aim in writing about and collecting interviews with Sonderkommando survivors was to demonstrate to an academic and general audience alike that the Sonderkommando have been misjudged and that the general information and consensus about them and their role in the death camps was ill informed.

Ya’akov lived in a post-war Israeli political and social environment that was not sympathetic to Sonderkommando and their roles in the death camps. Public audiences viewed Sonderkommando suspiciously for a
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9 Ibid., p. 363, note 2.
number of years after the war, both because of misinformation and judgement. In Israel, the Nazi Collaborators Act was broad enough to include Sonderkommando survivors as collaborators, and is an example of how such misunderstanding could result in serious political and personal consequences for former Sonderkommando.

One way in which Ya’akov was able to stay clear of this type of persecution was by joining a moshav when he, his wife, and young daughter settled in Israel in 1949. As some Jewish survivors at the time viewed Sonderkommando as collaborators, a moshav, which is a type of collective agricultural community of individual farms in Israel that is away from large cities, was ideal. Sonderkommando survivor Abraham Dragon, for example, remarked in his interview with Gideon Greif that while vacationing in Tiberias he heard a women survivor from Auschwitz tell people “The Jewish Sonderkommando prisoners were the murderers and ought to be punished. They were almost as cruel as the Germans.” In a remote setting such as a moshav, Ya’akov could avoid judgements like that above and fear of retribution attacks from other Jews.

Due to the rise of scholarly attention, particularly by Yad Vashem, and Sonderkommando testimonies such as Filip Müller’s that had reached Israel, perceptions of the Sonderkommando as voluntary collaborators started to breakdown as early as the 1960s. Rising interest and empathy with their roles in the death-camps made many Sonderkommando comfortable enough to agree to an interview with historians such as Ber Mark. Moreover, the partnership between the State of Israel and Yad Vashem to document all aspects of the Holocaust eased the fear of persecution and judgement, particularly as Israel persecuted no Sonderkommando under the Nazi Collaborators Act.

Ya’akov’s role in the Sonderkommando was as a “Stoker.” This group placed the bodies onto a stretcher and loaded them into the furnace with a pitchfork. Ya’akov remarks that for the four minutes it took to load the bodies onto the stretcher and then the furnace, he had a half an hour break because of the time it took for the bodies to burn. He also worked in the bunker pits during the large transports, such as those from Hungary, which he notes was very hard and tiring work without any breaks. Ya’akov informed Greif that he could not stand the work, not because of emotional or psychological effects, but because of its physical demand with the Germans constantly watching. Because of this, Ya’akov spoke to the Jewish Kapo Kaminski and Lemke to move him from the bunkers back to the crematorium. Four days later, Ya’akov returned to Crematorium II [III] and stayed there with his brother Dario.

15 Ibid., pp. 187-188.
16 Ibid., pp. 188-189.
The beginning, middle and end structure that Greif gives the interview suggests that Ya’akov has come to some form of “closure,” or acceptance of his experiences during the Holocaust. This is not the case with many Holocaust survivors, yet Ya’akov concluded the interview and his oral testimony stating,

Now as I sit here and tell you the whole thing, I ask myself, “How can a human being put up with such a thing? How can he endure?” Well, man is stronger than iron. C’est la vie, mon cher ami - to pass, to last, to cast aside.¹⁷

This ‘to pass, to last, to cast aside’ mentality is noteworthy because it is a rhetorical triplet, suggesting that Ya’akov did not think of it immediately and that he has purposely constructed his testimony defensively prior to his interview with Greif. Ya’akov argued that he had come to an understanding about his camp experiences. Ya’akov’s testimony, however, suggests otherwise. Instead of having “closure,” Ya’akov appears defiant and defensive in his responses to Greif’s questions. This indicates that Ya’akov’s camp experiences continually affected him by him having to continually justify himself in the post-war period, as he continually reinforced that he was not to blame. Ya’akov argued that he is not ashamed to tell his story and that his conscience is clear, stating that it was ‘the Germans who should be ashamed, not me. It hurts, but I’m not ashamed.’¹⁸

Moreover, this ‘to pass, to last, to cast aside’ mentality is central to how Ya’akov approached his camp experiences. This mentality, Ya’akov believed, allowed him and others to survive work in the gas chambers and crematoriums by turning off their mind, becoming robots, and ‘gettin used to everything.’¹⁹ According to Ya’akov, it did not take long before the Sonderkommando had gone beyond a robotic state to become animals. As Ya’akov remarked ‘we were animals. We didn’t have emotions. Sometimes we doubted whether we were still human.’²⁰ This understanding of the Sonderkommando mentality, amplified by the analogies made to robots and animals for the audience’s imagination and empathy, provides a context to read and comprehend Ya’akov’s testimony.

This context also helps readers understand Ya’akov’s experience when he, on nightshift, sat next to a dead body without it affecting his emotions. Ya’akov stated, ‘Sometimes as we rested at night, I’d put my hand on a body and it wouldn’t bother me anymore.’²¹ Moreover, Ya’akov considers this mentality as a reason why he did not dream about Auschwitz. When asked by Greif if he reflected about what happened to him in Auschwitz and if he dreamed about his experiences Ya’akov stated, ‘No, sometimes I’m reminded
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¹⁷ Ibid., p. 214.
¹⁸ Ibid., p. 213.
¹⁹ Ibid., p. 205.
²⁰ Ibid., p. 206.
²¹ Ibid.
of it but I don’t dream. I’ve never dreamed about it. The past is past. I live in the present.”

Furthermore, Ya’akov’s defiant and defensive construction of his testimony is evident by his constant need to tell about his experiences to his family. Ya’akov noted that telling his story caused him great pain every time, that it was hard to tell, and that it was hard to describe and bring into words what he experienced and saw. Yet when asked whether he has told his daughter his story, he asserted, ‘Of course. My grandchildren too… I’ve always told my children everything, from the time they were small.”

Ya’akov’s daughter tells Greif that her father had told her everything that happened to him, leaving nothing out, and that she had learnt about Auschwitz and the atrocities that the Nazis performed as a young girl.

Additionally, when the perceptions of Sonderkommando as collaborators broke down, and he felt comfortable telling his experiences in public, Ya’akov spoke with numerous children and adults at schools during his time working at a school on Martyrs and Heroes Remembrance Day, telling them everything, and continuing to do so when he worked at the Civil Guard. Ya’akov’s constant telling of his testimony to different audiences highlights his need to justify himself and his survival by reinforcing that the Nazis are to blame for the loss of Jewish communities across Europe and not the Sonderkommando workers.

Despite Ya’akov believing that he had a ‘to pass, to last, to cast aside’ mentality and approach to his Holocaust experiences, his constant telling of his story, particularly in its defiant and defensive rhetoric, suggests that he had not altogether ‘cast aside’ his camp experiences. Rather, readers may consider his testimony and actions after the war as “acting out” defensively, as he continually asserted that he was not a collaborator. According to Dominick LaCapra, who himself draws from Holocaust survivor and historian Saul Friedlander, explains that “acting out” trauma means the constant reliving of events, such as through nightmares or repression. This acting out, LaCapra suggests, limits the process of “working through” that would allow for a level of control over emotions and for the survivor to accept their experiences and the actions taken during their traumatic experiences.

Nevertheless, the belief that his “robotic” mentality would help him survive his horrific experiences ultimately allowed Ya’akov to maintain control of his emotions and psyche under the severe and traumatic circumstances of the Sonderkommando during the Holocaust. His belief that he had this ‘to pass, to last, to cast aside’ attitude had the same result on his post-war emotions and psyche, allowing him to believe that he had come to some form of “closure.”
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22 Ibid., p. 213.
23 Ibid.
24 Ibid., p. 181-182.
Dario Gabbai was born 2 September 1922 and is still alive today at the age of 91 living in Los Angeles, California. On November 7 1996, Carol Stulberg, a volunteer from the University of Southern California’s Shoah Foundation Institute interviewed Dario. Stulberg is the daughter of two Auschwitz survivors who, like Dario, moved to America after liberation. Dario’s testimony takes the form of a video testimony. Unlike transcribed oral and written testimonies, video testimony allows viewers to take note of the way the survivor speaks, their facial expressions and their body language. Literary theorist and historian James Young suggests that the content in Holocaust video testimonies is ‘a combination of the survivor’s story, the telling of the story, and the audio-visual taping of testimony.’ The taping of former Sonderkommando Morris Venezia, for example, shows his raised voice and outburst of anger in regards to the Nazis stealing Jewish property, as well as his emotional response when asked about his mother. Dario’s interview, on the other hand, consistently reflects his sensitive nature and his feelings of guilt.

Dario’s testimony chronologically details his experiences. Of his experiences, Dario and the interviewer pay close attention to his time in the Sonderkommando unit. Dario was 22 when the Nazis selected him for work in the Sonderkommando. Based in Crematorium II (III), Dario’s role was to bring the gassed bodies from the elevator and place them in groups of four in front of the oven doors, ready for those like Ya’akov who would then place the bodies onto a stretcher and into the ovens. This job, according to Ya’akov, was much easier ‘because [Dario] didn’t have to pick up the bodies. He dragged them by the hands.’

The role of the Sonderkommando had a profound traumatic effect on Dario, particularly in the post-war period. Dario noted in his interview that the first ten years were particularly hard, with nightmares of the gas chambers and crematoria every night or week. Indeed even Ya’akov remarked that Dario did not want to tell, to hear, or remember a thing. Dario noted in his interview that the problem with the Sonderkommando was not food or clothes, but ‘the inside of your soul.’ He expressed the same concerns about his soul in BBC’s documentary, Auschwitz: The Final
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27 Young, Writing and Rewriting the Holocaust: Narrative and the Consequences of Interpretation (Bloomington and Indianapolis: Indiana University Press, 1988), p. 158.
28 Morris Venezia, Interview 20504, VHA, Tape 4, min 27:45ff; Tape 3, min14:00ff.
30 Ya’akov Gabbai, “I’ll Get Out of Here!” p. 189
31 Dario Gabbai, Interview 142, VHA, Tape 4, min23:10ff.
33 Dario Gabbai, Interview 142, VHA, Tape 3, min2:40ff.
Witness, in 2000 and again in a 2001 interview with the Los Angeles Jewish Journal. In these, Dario told how he still suffered from guilt and shame that he had not done more to resist, and that he felt as though as should have died with his fellow Jews.34

Dario’s construction of his testimony also highlights influences other than his guilt and shame. Henry Greenspan has commented that Holocaust survivor testimony is a ‘divided discourse.’ On one side, Greenspan argues, is rhetoric of post-traumatic stress, and survivor guilt and shame, while on the other side the Jewish religion tells to “bear witness.”35 This divided discourse is noticeable in Dario’s testimony in three primary ways. First, like Ya’akov, by his robot-like mentality. Second, his constant references to his desire to live and survive. Third, is Dario’s rediscovered need and desire to tell contemporary audiences of what happened to Jews at Auschwitz-Birkenau after having been quiet for so long.

Dario and Ya’akov were able to help each other during their time in the Sonderkommando. Like Ya’akov, Dario used a robot-like mentality to be able to cope psychologically and emotionally with the physical destruction of their family, friends, community, and fellow Jews, with the knowledge that soon the Nazis would kill them because they were bearers of the Final Solution secret. Dario stated in his interview that, ‘I said to myself: How can somebody be able to survive in such an environment?’36 He proceeded to recount how, when he went to cut hair from a victim’s head, he put his foot on its stomach and due to the pressure of his weight the body let out gas making a gasping sound, scaring Dario so much so that he exaggerates his response by saying, ‘I jump up a 100 metres away.’37 To cope with the job, therefore, he stated,

I said to myself, “how can I do it”... and I said to myself, the only thing I can do, and this is why I don’t remember a lot of things, I said to myself, “I am a robot,” and I did just this to my mind [makes hand gestures to turn off his mind] and said from now on close your eyes and do whatever needs to be done without asking too much.38

Turning his mind off, Dario noted, allowed him to survive. He tells Stulberg that he ‘couldn’t survive by thinking every time that transport was coming, that this would be the last, or by thinking of what it meant for the Jewish people.39 Otto Moll was a SS Hauptscharführer, or squad leader, who many survivors note was notorious for his precise gun aim and shot. Sonderkommando survivor Shlomo Dragon stated that ‘to

34 Ibid.
36 Dario Gabbai, Interview 142, VHA, Tape 2, min 18:00ff.
37 Ibid.
38 Ibid.
39 Ibid.
amuse himself, Moll would pull someone out of the line, jam a burning cigarette into his mouth, and try to put out the cigarette with gunshots.\textsuperscript{40} Moll’s erratic and spontaneous behaviour is why Dario and other Sonderkommando and camp inmates feared and hated Moll.

Dario’s robot-like mentality also helped him in the interview to recount his camp experiences. This is evident in his composure while digressing on what he had to do in the Sonderkommando and on the larger killing process of thousands of victims. In these segments of the video testimony, Dario maintained a calm composure, answering the questions with descriptive details. He convinces the reader that by turning off his mind to what was happening and what he was doing, becoming a robot was the only way that the Sonderkommando could carry out the work required of them. It is later in the interview, when he reflected on his trip back to Auschwitz and confronting his past that Dario became emotionally overwhelmed and upset on camera, and when his guilt and shame about his camp experiences become evident.

Another way in which Dario constructs his testimony is by constantly referring to his desire to survive and to tell of his experiences while he was in the camp. He stated, ‘you’ll be surprised how the human mind and body can [survive] when you have the volontà [will] to live... I wasn’t giving up.’\textsuperscript{41} When Stulberg then asked what he thought it was that made him want to live, he replied, ‘Will to live and see the world. See what was going on, to tell.’\textsuperscript{42}

This will to live, however, conflicted with what the Nazis wanted to happen to the Sonderkommando. Because the Sonderkommando facilitated the extermination process in death camps, they were in a position whereby they knew too much of the Nazis Final Solution. Thus the Nazis wanted the Sonderkommando killed before they were able to tell anyone, hence the constant turnover every few months of Sonderkommando members. Yet when the Russians were near Auschwitz and the SS were sparse in numbers, the Sonderkommando were able to blend in with other camp inmates. Thereafter the Nazis continually asked and searched for the Sonderkommando when arriving at the Mauthausen, Ebensee, and Melk camps in Austria.

The search for Sonderkommando members after leaving Auschwitz on the death march was a significant reason why Dario took many years to tell his story. With urgency and an emphasis on the importance of what he is now telling, Dario stated,

\begin{quote}
When I left Birkenau, every place I went the first thing they [the Nazis] were doing was ask who work in the Sonderkommando. They didn’t want anyone alive in the
\end{quote}

\textsuperscript{40} Shlomo Dragon, ‘Abraham and Shlomo Dragon: “Together- in Despair and in Hope”’ in \textit{We Wept Without Tears}, ed. Gideon Greif, p. 160.

\textsuperscript{41} Dario Gabbai, Interview 142, VHA, Tape 4, min7:00ff.

\textsuperscript{42} Ibid.
Sonderkommando; they knew too much, we knew a lot. So we were with our lips sealed.\(^{43}\)

As a result, Dario did not tell his experiences for many years, and soon wanted to forget as Ya’akov noted. Dario wanted to forget his experiences immediately after the war not only because of fear, but also because of his own self-reflection of what had occurred. Dario’s decision to move to America after the Holocaust indicates his desire to distance himself from the memories of his experiences, which were memories that the presence of his brother and constant reminders of their family members who had died easily evoked. Thus, Dario moved to America to live rather than to his hometown of Salonika or to Israel with his brother.

Henry Greenspan suggests that the public in post-war America associated Holocaust survivors with the horrifying newsreels of the liberated camps, evoking ‘a shifting combination of pity, fear, revulsion, and guilt.’\(^{44}\) Moreover, Peter Novick suggests that survivors in the late 1940s frequently wanted to talk about their experiences but American society discouraged it. Therefore, the survivors silenced themselves and repressed their trauma, which later returned in the form of post-traumatic stress disorder.\(^{45}\) Another reason for Dario’s change from wanting to tell to wanting to forget in the post-war world could also have been his constant and vivid nightmares. A cure Dario found was exercising daily to wear out both his mind and body.\(^{46}\) ‘It’s therapy,’ Dario stated elsewhere, ‘When I’m sweating, it clears my mind of everything, and I don’t have to think of the past.’\(^{47}\)

A profound influence on Dario’s construction of his testimony was his renewed desire to tell his experiences resulting from to his visit to Auschwitz and other death camps in Poland between October 12th and 19th 1996. A university teacher asked Dario to accompany him and a group of university students on a study tour of Auschwitz and other camps because they wanted a survivor-guide. By accepting to accompany them, Dario had to think about his experiences and try to make it relatable and comprehensible to a student audience. Doing so made Dario face and work-through the vivid memories and nightmares that he had repressed after the war. Telling Stulburg about his return trip to Auschwitz, and him telling his experiences with the group of students, Dario became emotional. He noted how the students were ‘hungry for knowledge,’ asked him about his experiences, and were caring and loving towards him and everything he told them.\(^{48}\) When asked by Stulberg how he was now that he had undertaken the trip and

\(^{43}\) Ibid.


\(^{46}\) Dario Gabbai, Interview 142, VHA, Tape 4, Tape 4, min22:50ff.


\(^{48}\) Dario Gabbai, Interview 142, VHA, Tape 4, min25:00ff.
shared his experience, Dario stated, ‘Well I think I, I, something came out of my, my soul.’\textsuperscript{49} This type of acceptance and understanding from the university students positively affected Dario and renewed his desire to tell his experiences.

Adding to his renewed desire to tell immediately after his return trip to Auschwitz-Birkenau was Dario’s belief that there were only a few \textit{Sonderkommando} left alive in the world. Dario remarked that as far as he was aware, there were only three other \textit{Sonderkommando} alive after Ya’akov had passed in 1994. This belief accounts for Dario’s realisation that, to him, there are nearly no \textit{Sonderkommando} survivors left alive who can tell of their experiences and therefore the full extent of Nazi cruelty and dehumanisation. Thus, he must tell now because ‘once they die no one will know.’\textsuperscript{50} The dates of his visit and his testimony also suggest this. Dario notes that his tour of Poland was from October 12 to 19 1996 and the date of his testimony recording was 7 November 1996, 19 days after his trip to Poland ended.\textsuperscript{51} Thus, urgency and the need to tell his camp experiences is inherent in Dario’s construction of his testimony, and is a reason behind the amount of detail Dario gives of the \textit{Sonderkommando} and the killing process.

\textbf{Conclusions}$^*$

Oral testimony offers audiences the ability to see and read the survivor’s response and reaction to questions. These reactions allow audiences to better empathise with and understand the survivor’s experiences as they provide audiences with a visual and oral aid. As historian Lawrence Langer has argued, statements such as “to understand, you have to go through with it,” ‘underestimates the sympathetic power of the imagination.’ Continuing, Langer states that now is the time to give the power of the imagination a worthy role in historiography.\textsuperscript{52}

The oral and video testimonies of the Gabbai brothers offer a unique analysis demonstrating that a careful and critical inquiry of their testimonies tells audiences much about how these brothers understood and constructed their experiences. The Gabbai brothers share a similar history that they have each constructed in vastly different ways, with a ‘robotic mentality’ as one of the only similar influences in the construction of their testimonies. Each of their geographies has had an impact on their testimony, as well as their post-war psyche.

Historian and literary theorist Zoë Waxman argues that ‘to show that people are fallible and act just as human beings is not to demonize them, but to attempt to present a more rounded picture of responses to extreme

\textsuperscript{49} \textit{Ibid.} Tape 4, min25:50ff.
\textsuperscript{50} \textit{Ibid.} Tape 3, min3:40ff.
\textsuperscript{51} \textit{Ibid.} Tape 4, min22:30ff.
This essay has demonstrated Waxman’s statement. As Ya’akov adopted a ‘to pass, to last, to cast aside’ mentality despite his defiant and defensive testimony, terrible nightmares and repression affected Dario’s first ten years after the war. Dario’s return to Auschwitz and his subsequent testimony to various audiences, both academic and public, suggest that he has come to a greater level of acceptance about his camp experiences than his brother Ya’akov who remained defiant and defensive. Ultimately, a narrative inquiry of the Gabbai brother’s testimonies provides an understanding that they were people acting under severe duress and emotional, psychological, and physical strain. Considering how beneficial narrative inquiries are, as shown by these brother’s testimonies, imagine the benefit additional narrative inquiries of former prisoner functionaries could provide to contemporary understandings of the Holocaust and the prisoner functionary system.
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